**Mono County 2011 Local Implementation Plan**

**Summary and Outcome Measures**

On April 4, 2011, the Public Safety Realignment Act (Assembly Bill 109) was signed into law to address overcrowding in California prisons and to assist in alleviating the State’s financial crisis. AB 109 transfers the responsibility for supervising specified lower level inmates and parolees from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to the Counties of California. Along with the transfer of supervision, state funding was also provided to each county to assist with carrying out these new mandates. Implementation of the Public Safety Realignment Act occurred on October 1, 2011.

In 2011 each California County implemented a plan setting forth how they intended on handling these new responsibilities. In Mono County three areas of planning were looked at.

1. Funds needs to support in the additional burdens that would be placed on County departments.

2. Alternatives to custody so that the county jail could continue to house serious and violent offenders and not be overwhelmed with housing lower level offenders, and;

3. The creation and/or expansion of focused programs to help address recidivism and therefore help in reducing the impact to County resources.

After looking at these three areas Mono County’s 2011 Realignment Plan sought to achieve the following three outcomes:

1. Implementation of a streamlined and efficient system in Mono County to manage our additional responsibilities under realignment;
2. Implementation of a system that protects public safety and utilizes best practices in recidivism reduction;
3. Implementation of a system that effectively utilizes alternatives to pre-trial and post-conviction incarceration where appropriate.

As such, the Plan focused on tracking several outcomes which included:

1. Programs created and/or expanded to provide services in hopes of reducing recidivism;
2. Recidivism rates for AB 109 offenders;
3. Recidivism rates for parolees;
4. Number of offenders sentenced to county jail and state prison;
5. Number of offenders sentenced to probation or alternative programs.

Additional elements that were not included in the plan but reviewed and detailed in this summary were:

1. Types of services provided;
2. Numbers of individuals serviced;
3. Types of alternative sentencing that were created;
4. Numbers of individuals who received alternative sentencing.

**Services provided, enhanced or implemented by department due to AB 109**

**Probation**

* Pretrial Services was added
* Increased Pretrial, Mandatory Supervision and Postrelease Community Supervision (PRCS) Electronic Monitoring
* Received federal grant for Drug Court and implemented program with justice partners
* Added one deputy probation officer and increased one DPO II to a III to allow for greater responsibilities for supervision
* Supervision levels were redefined along with contact requirements
* Implemented and trained for new Risk Needs Assessment (ORAS)
* Two Deputy Probation Officer III trained and certified in Moral Reconation TherapyTM (MRT)
* Established Graduated Sanctions and Incentives Matrix
* Brought online new case management software to allow for analysis
* Increased the use of cognitive-behavioral tools through the Change Company
* Assisted PRCS and Mandatory Supervision clients with clothes, bus tickets and other needed items upon release
* All staff trained in Motivational Interviewing with probation officer instructor providing training for other departments along with probation
* Developed a case management plan for each offender
* Provided an intensive level of supervision for PRCS and Mandatory Supervision clients
* Supervised those offenders placed on Home Detention
* Supervised Community Work Service for offenders in lieu of detainment

**2011-2016 Outcome Stats**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Post Release Community Service Clients (Individuals sent to the County from the State for supervision)** | 2011 - 2016 |
| * Supervised Post Release Community Service parolees from 2011 – 2016 | 11\* |
| * Transferred to another county | 2 |
| * Individuals that had violations and returned to local custody | 7 |
| * Successful clients with no violations | 3 |
| \* - 1 PRCS Client was sent back to the County for supervision twice\* |  |
| **Mandatory Split Sentences (Individuals who received local prison and then released on supervision)** |  |
| * Supervised individuals placed on Mandatory Split Sentences | 7 |
| * Awaiting release from custody- | 1 |
| * Transferred to another county | 1 |
| * Successful with no violations | 1 |
| * Individuals that had violations and were returned to local custody- | 4 |
| **Local prison pursuant to 1170(h) with no supervision term (Individuals sentenced to straight local custody with no supervision when released)** |  |
| * Individuals sentenced to straight local prison with no supervision tail - | 57 |
| **Total of felony Probation grants from 2011 – 2016** |  |
| * Total felony probation grants | 135 |
| * Number of individuals placed in local prison pursuant to 1170(h) and then released | 59 |
| * Local recidivism rate (returned to local custody for a new crime) | 13 |
| * Recidivism for 1170(h) population (1170(h) individual returned to local custody) | 14 |
| * State Recidivism rate (individuals sent to state prison after a probation violation) | 2 |

**Sheriff**

* Hired full time Public Safety Office to assist in developing and supervising work detail for inmates.
* Sheriff has granted authority to use Electronic Monitoring.
* Sheriff has contracted with Community Service Solutions (CSS) to develop and supervise the inmate re-entry program which provides necessary services to inmates scheduled for release.

**2011-2016 Outcome Stats**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| * Electronic Monitoring - | 0 |
| * Home Detention - | 0 |
| * Inmate work detail - | **?** |
| * Inmates requiring medical services | **?** |
| * **COMMUNITY SERVICE SOLUTIONS – Services provided through the jail re-entry program** |  |
| \*Contract between the Sheriff and CSS. 2015 was the first year of the program, CSS Reentry Coordinators had 259 appointments with 136 inmates. Several inmates required multiple appointments. CSS provided a total of 201 services to inmates. |  |
| **Referrals and Tangible Services provided by CSS** | **Aug 16' - July 17'** |
| **Behavioral Health Referrals (General Outpatient Treatment)** | **9** |
| a. Court Ordered | 1 |
| b. Voluntary | 8 |
| **Substance Abuse Referrals** | **22** |
| a. Court Ordered | 8 |
| b. Voluntary | 14 |
| **Domestic Violence Referrals (Wild Iris)** | **5** |
| a. Court Ordered | 1 |
| b. Voluntary | 4 |
| **Health Care** | **12** |
| a. Doctor Appointments scheduled | 8 |
| b. Dentist Appointments scheduled | 4 |
| **Housing/Tents** | **16** |
| a. IMACA Referrals (Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc.) | 10 |
| b. Tents Distributed | 2 |
| c. Sleeping Bags Distributed | 4 |
| **Food/Clothing** | **36** |
| a. Food Assistance Referrals (Cal-Fresh) | 27 |
| b. Clothing Referrals (Various Thrift Stores) | 4 |
| c. Backpacks Distributed | 5 |
| **Employment & Education** | **20** |
| a. Employment Referrals (Inmates Hometowns) | 17 |
| b. Education Referrals (Inmates Hometowns) | 3 |
| **Other** | **31** |
| a. Replacement Social Security Cards (Helped Inmates Acquire Before Release) | 5 |
| b. Replacement Birth Certificates (Helped Inmates Acquire Before Release) | 5 |
| c. Bus Voucher Referrals to Probation | 9 |
| d. Miscellaneous Pamphlet Distribution, DMV Information, Bus Schedules | 20 |
| **Total** | **159** |
| **Needs Identified by CSS Reentry Coordinators** | |
| Transitional Housing  a. Halfway/Recovery Housing | |
| Scheduling and Coordination of Services in Remote Locations  a. Behavioral Health  b. Substance Abuse Treatment  c. Domestic Violence | |
| Transportation to Court-Ordered Services Required After Release | |
| Transportation to DMV Services for Driver License and/or Identification Cards After Release  a. To be in compliance with Court-Ordered and Probation Activities | |
| Identify Resources for Emergency Clothing for Inmates When they are being Released | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Health Insurance Enrollment & Referrals** | **Aug 16- July 17** |
| **Had Health Insurance upon Incarceration** | **69** |
| a. Private Insurance | 30 |
| b. Medi-Cal | 39 |
| **Declined health insurance enrollment** | **19** |
| Declined to sign Social Services Release of Information to check Medi-Cal status | 18 |
| **Completed Medi-Cal application while Incarcerated** | **50** |

**District Attorney**

* Created a Pre-Filing Diversion Program as an alternative to prosecution and incarceration.
* Helped create a Drug Court to assist as an alternative to incarceration. Also, to assist individuals who have offenses which have a nexus to drug and/or alcohol.
* Trained prosecutors in handling Post Release and Parole Violations.
* Expanded the Victim/Witness services to help support additional Victims and Victim’s rights under realignment.

**2011-2016 Outcome Stats**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2011 – 2016** |
| Number of Individuals grant Pre-Filing Diversion | 88 |
| Number of Parole Violations Prosecuted – Prepared – settle through admission | 2 |
| Number of Local Parole/Probation Violations Prosecuted. | 3 |
| Number of Victims of Crime served | 647 |
| Number of Services provided to Victims of Crime and Witnesses | 2,697 |

**Social Services**

* Provided benefits eligibility assistance for Medi-Cal, CalFresh, CalWORKs, and General Assistance.
* Provided Employment and Training services.
* Developed and executed an agreement with DHCS allowing the county to participate in the Medi-Cal County Inmate Program for recoupment of inpatient hospital services.
* Worked with the Mono County Sheriff’s Office on the implementation of the Community Service Solutions program for the jail inmate reentry services.

**2011-2016 Outcome Stats**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Of the 54 Individuals in the AB109 and PRCS Population:** | **2011 – 2016** |
| Number of Individuals assisted with Medi-Cal | 20 |
| Number of Individuals assisted with CalFresh | 13 |
| Number of Individuals assisted with CalWorks | 1 |
| Number of Individuals who received General Assistance | 6 |
| Number of Individuals who received Employment Services | 2 |
| Number of individuals who received Other Services (Child Care assistance; Bus & Meal Vouchers) | 8 |
|  | |
| Combined number of Re-entry Backpacks w/ Community Resource Referrals, Hygiene Kits, and Sleeping Bags provided to jail inmates upon release (some received one or both) | 34 |

**Behavioral Health**

* Two staff members trained and certified in Moral Recognition Therapy (MRT).
* MRT groups provided in Jail by two different staff members
* Small Steps/Big Differences group provided weekly. This promotes the Harm Reduction model of prevention.
* Revolving Doors Men’s Group. Promotes positive interaction and addresses thinking errors to help support men who have been incarcerated as the re-enter the community.
* Ongoing Substance Use Treatment provided in the jail.
* Staff providing MRT and Change Company groups for Probation Department
* Batterers Intervention expanded to both English and Spanish.

**2011-2016 Outcome Stats**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **AB 109 and PRCS Population:** | **2011 – 2016** |
| Number of Individuals receiving services | 22 |
| Number of service hours provided to individuals | 278 |

**Mono County Office of Education**

* Supports inmate service by providing educational and job training opportunities.

**2011-2012 Outcome Stats**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **2011-2016** |
| Number of individuals service in jail | 0 |
| Number of individuals service once released from custody | 2 |

**Public Defender**

* Supports Alternative sentencing with Electronic Monitoring.
* Supports Alternative sentencing with Home Detention.
* Active participant in New Drug Court Program.

**Superior Court**

* Supports Alternative sentencing with Electronic Monitoring.
* Supports Alternative sentencing with Home Detention.
* Helped create and run the New Drug Court Program.

\*Note – Since this is the first detailed statistical analysis there is no prior comparable data to gauge at this time whether programs and services have been effective. The reported 2011–2016 Outcome Measures will allow us see what has been implemented since 2011 and gives us the baseline data needed to evaluate the effectiveness of programs and services in the future.